

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this patent application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments, and the following remarks.

The Patent Examiner has withdrawn claims 8 to 16 from further consideration, as being directed to a non-elected invention.

The amendments to this patent application are as follows. The Specification has been amended on pages 1, 3, and 7 in order to insert the Section Headings required by U.S. practice.

The elected claims are claims 1 to 7, which have been amended in order to overcome the formal objections and rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112.

For example, claim 1 was objected to because of the following informalities: because the preamble and the body of claim 1 are not distinguishable, this makes claim 1 open to numerous interpretations about the specificity of the claimed subject matter. Appropriate correction was required.

For this reason, claim 1 has now been amended to recite a wind energy system "comprising." Consequently, the preamble of the claim is now distinguishable from the body of claim 1.

Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Regarding claims 1 and 3, the phrase "preferably" renders the claim indefinite, because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

In response to this objection, the word "preferably" has been cancelled from claims 1, 3, and 7.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "directly or indirectly" renders the claim indefinite. In response to this objection, claim 1 has been amended to cancel the phrase "directly or indirectly" from claim 1.

Newly added claims 17 and 18 depend from claim 1 and recite terminology cancelled from claim 1.

In claim 1, the words "converter" and "rectifier" appear to describe the same feature, which makes this feature (super-synchronous cascade) indefinite.

In response to this objection, the word "rectifier" has been cancelled and has been replaced by the word "converter" in claim 1.


Newly added claim 19 depends from claim 3 and recites terminology cancelled from claim 3. Newly added claim 20 depends from claim 7 and recites terminology cancelled from claim 7.

For all the reasons set forth above, the Specification, and all the claims, are firmly believed to be in complete compliance with all the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. Withdrawal of this ground of rejection is respectfully requested.


Thus, the present patent application is believed to be in condition for allowance. A prompt notification of allowability is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
Wolf WILLISCH ET AL.

COLLARD & ROE, P.C.
1077 Northern Boulevard
Roslyn, New York 11576
(516) 365-9802
ERF:lgh


Frederick J. Dorchak, Reg.No.29,298
Edward R. Freedman, Reg.No.26,048
Attorneys for Applicant

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 29, 2007.


Kelly Espitia